

Exploration Update-Drilling Extends Porphyry at Burns

- Six RC pre collars totalling 930m have been completed in preparation for a subsequent diamond drill program to evaluate the Eastern Porphyry at the Burns gold-copper prospect
- The holes evaluated 200m of strike of the Eastern Porphyry that hosts the outstanding intercept in hole LEFR260 containing:
 - 60m at 5.22g/t Au and 0.38% Cu from 112m down-hole to end of hole, including: 20m at 12.2g/t Au, 0.87% Cu and 1.7g/t Ag from 144m
- Each pre collar hole intersected the Eastern Porphyry on five consecutive 40m spaced east west sections. These intersections further support the geological interpretation of the area. The Eastern Porphyry remains open to the north and its eastern limit is unknown beneath Lake Randall.
- Hole LEFR283, located 40m north of LEFR260, intersected 18m of magnetite-sulphide altered porphyry to end of hole, including a 2m interval from 127m containing multiple specks of visible gold in drill chips.
- Assay results for the pre collars are expected in mid-April
- Fourteen RC pre collars are now prepared for the diamond drilling that is rescheduled to commence in mid-April subject to confirmation of drill rig availability
- Assay results for the earlier Burns diamond drilling and 1m RC resamples are pending



Gold in RC drill chip of altered porphyry from 128-129m in LEFR283

Lefroy Exploration Limited (ASX: LEX) (“Lefroy” or “the Company”) is pleased to provide an update to the recently completed reverse circulation (RC) drilling program at the Burns Prospect. Burns is within the Eastern Lefroy tenement package, which is part of the wholly owned greater Lefroy Gold Project (LGP) located 50km south east of Kalgoorlie (Figure 1).

The Burns gold copper prospect is situated on the eastern margin of a large interpreted felsic intrusion, termed the Burns Intrusion. The intrusion does not outcrop and is represented by a distinctive annular aeromagnetic and gravity geophysical signature. A maiden 22-hole RC drill program completed in Jan-Feb 2021 intersected a spectacular gold and copper interval in hole LEFR260 containing:

- **60m at 5.22g/t Au and 0.38% Cu from 112m down-hole to end of hole, including:**
 - **20m at 12.2g/t Au, 0.87% Cu and 1.7g/t Ag from 144m**

The broad high-grade mineralisation is hosted within a newly discovered hematite-pyrite-chalcopyrite-magnetite altered porphyry. This porphyry, now termed the Eastern Porphyry, is open to the north and south and its eastern extent is unknown (Figure 2). The mineralisation is open at depth.




Figure 1 Lefroy Gold Project, the Burns prospect and proximity to Lucky Strike. Refer to Figure 2 for Burns drill hole plan.

Drill Program

A six-hole reverse circulation (RC) drill hole program (Table 1) has been completed at Burns. The angled RC holes are pre collars for diamond drill holes (tails) that are part of a larger program of diamond drilling that is due to commence in mid-April.

The pre collars were drilled on five consecutive 40m spaced east west sections along strike of the discovery section that hosts LEFR260 (Figure 2). The holes are LEFR 281 to LEFR286 with hole depths ranging from 60m to 204m. Four of the drill sections were pre-existing, with hole LEFR285 drilled on a new 40m step out section to the north.

Each hole intersected the Eastern Porphyry in the interpreted position. This provides confidence in, and support for the geological model. All holes ended in variably altered porphyry, the eastern limit of which is yet to be determined and which extends out beneath Lake Randall.

Hole LEFR283 drilled 40m to the north of discovery hole LEFR260 intersected two zones of magnetite sulphide (pyrite, chalcopyrite) altered porphyry separated by magnetite altered basalt. The lower interval from 120m to 138m (End of Hole) intersected an alteration zone that is visually similar to the 20m high-grade interval in LEFR260.

The lower interval in LEFR283 includes a 2m interval from 127m containing multiple flecks of visible gold (VG) hosted by altered (magnetite, pyrite, chalcopyrite) porphyry (Figure 3).

The depth extension to this interval in LEFR283 was evaluated by hole LEFR286. That hole also intersected the eastern porphyry, which included a 34m downhole interval of magnetite sulphide (pyrite, chalcopyrite) altered porphyry. This hole also ended in porphyry at 198m and will be extended with a diamond tail.

Assay results for the program are expected in mid-April.

Next Steps

Fourteen RC pre collared holes are now prepared for diamond drill tails. These are a combination of previous and new (LEX) RC drill holes, including holes LEFR283 and 286 noted above.

The diamond drill program will be an initial 14-hole test to evaluate the Eastern Porphyry over a 200m strike length on 40m spaced drill sections (Figure 2). The first hole of that program will be a diamond hole to twin and extend past the high-grade interval in LEFR260. The second planned hole will test 50m vertically beneath the mineralisation in LEFR260.

The diamond drilling program totalling approximately 1500m is rescheduled to commence in mid-April subject to final confirmation of rig availability. Additional RC drilling is being planned to complement the diamond drilling focussing on extensions to Burns and initial evaluation of the Smithers magnetic anomaly





Figure 2 Drill hole plan at the Burns prospect highlighting recent RC holes (LEFR 281 to LEFR 286) the proposed future holes (blue) relative to LEFR260 and the interpreted extent of the Eastern Porphyry (refer LEX ASX release 9 March 2021 for drill sections AA').



Figure 3 Sulphide (chalcopyrite & pyrite) magnetite altered drill chips from LEFR283 123-124m



This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board



Wade Johnson
Managing Director

END

Table 1

Burns Drill hole collar details

Hole ID	Collar E (MGA)	Collar N (MGA)	Collar RL	Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	Drill type	Target
LEFR281	407404	6549725	292	60	-60	90	RC Precollar	Burns
LEFR282	407400	6549773	292	150	-60	90	RC Precollar	Burns
LEFR283	407340	6549809	292	138	-60	90	RC Precollar	Burns
LEFR284	407321	6549848	292	204	-60	90	RC Precollar	Burns
LEFR285	407330	6549887	292	180	-60	90	RC Precollar	Burns
LEFR286	407318	6549804	292	198	-60	90	RC Precollar	Burns


Drill Type

RC Pre collar---Initial part of the drill hole drilled using the reverse circulation technique and then completed with a diamond drill tail.

About Lefroy Exploration Limited and the Lefroy Gold Project

Lefroy Exploration Limited is a WA based and focused explorer taking a disciplined methodical and conceptual approach in the search for high value gold deposits in the Yilgarn Block of Western Australia. Key projects include the Lefroy Gold Project to the south east of Kalgoorlie and the Lake Johnston Project 120km to the west of Norseman.

The 100% owned Lefroy Gold Project contains mainly granted tenure and covers 621km² in the heart of the world class gold production area between Kalgoorlie and Norseman. The Project is in close proximity to Gold Fields' St Ives gold camp, which contains the Invincible gold mine located in Lake Lefroy and is also immediately south of Silver Lake Resources' (ASX:SLR) Daisy Milano gold mining operation. The Project is divided into the Western Lefroy package, subject to a Farm-In Agreement with Gold Fields and the Eastern Lefroy package (100% Lefroy owned). The Farm-In Agreement with Gold Fields over the Western Lefroy tenement package commenced on 7 June 2018. Gold Fields can earn up to a 70% interest in the package by spending up to a total of \$25million on exploration activities within 6 years of the commencement date.



Location of the Lefroy Gold Project relative to Kalgoorlie. The Western Lefroy tenement package subject to the Gold Fields joint venture, and Gold Fields tenure are also highlighted

For Further Information please contact:

Wade Johnson

Managing Director

Telephone: +61 8 93210984

Email: wjohnson@lestroyex.com

Notes Specific-ASX Announcements

The following announcements were lodged with the ASX and further details (including supporting JORC Reporting Tables) for each of the sections noted in this Announcement can be found in the following releases. Note that these announcements are not the only announcements released to the ASX but specific to exploration reporting by the Company of previous exploration at Burns at the Lefroy Gold Project.

- Lefroy Exploration Limited-Prospectus: 8 September 2016
- Managing Directors AGM Presentation: 5 December 2016
- Lefroy Expands Tenement Holding & Secures Au-Cu Prospect: 10 December 2019
- June 2020 Quarterly Activities Report: 31 July 2020
- Multiple Gold Trends Confirmed from Eastern Lefroy: 1 September 2020
- Tenement Granted over Burns Au-Cu Prospect: 16 September 2020
- September 2020 Quarterly Activities Report: 29 October 2020
- Drilling Underway at Burns Au-Cu Prospect: 12 January 2021
- Drilling Update-Native copper Intersected at Burns Prospect: 2 February 2021
- Outstanding High-Grade Gold and Copper Mineralisation Intersected at Burns: 23 February 2020
- New Basalt Hosted Gold-Copper Zone Supports Large Burns Mineral System: 9 March 2021
- Drilling Recommences at the Burns Au-Cu Prospect: 19 March 2020

Further information regarding the Burns Prospect has been sourced from the following documents

Independent Geologists Report within the Octagonal Resources Limited Scheme Booklet that was lodged with the ASX on 21 December 2015.

2km Long Copper Anomaly at the Burns Prospect, Western Australia Octagonal Resources Limited, ASX release dated 8 March 2013.

WAMEX report item 110434 Final Report -Government Co-Funded Drilling Grant DAG2015/00559291-Burns Prospect-Exploration Licence E15/1097

The information in this announcement that relates to exploration targets and exploration results is based on information compiled by Wade Johnson a competent person who is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Wade Johnson is employed by Lefroy Exploration Limited. Wade has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the JORC Code. Wade Johnson consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his work in the form and context in which it appears

**SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA JORC CODE, 2012 Edition-Table 1 Report –Lefroy Project –
Burns Cu-Au Prospect March 2021 RC Drilling**

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sampling noted in this release has been carried out using Reverse Circulation (RC) at the Burns Copper (Cu) – Gold (Au) prospect. The drill program comprised 6 RC holes for 930m. Holes varying in depth from 60m to 204m. All holes were drilled at 60° toward 090° (East). Sampling and QAQC protocols as per industry best practice with further details below. RC bulk samples were collected from the cyclone at 1m intervals in plastic buckets and arranged in rows of 20 samples. 1m split samples were collected from 0m to end of hole (EOH). 1m split samples were collected directly off the drill rig cone splitter into calico bags attached to the cyclone. The sample collected generally weighed 2-3kg. 4m composite samples were collected using a scoop to produce a 2-3kg sample from 0m to end of hole collected from the bulk samples except where the geologist log significant sulphides and as such the 1m split sample direct off the cyclone was sent to the laboratory for analysis. Upon receipt of the 4m composite results, 1m split samples were then collected from anomalous gold intervals (>0.1g/t Au) and/or >500ppm (0.05%) Cu. The 1m samples were sent to the Laboratory in Kalgoorlie for analysis. The samples were dried, pulverised, split to produce a 40g charge for analysis by fire assay with Au determination by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS). Additional elements, will derived using a mixed acid digest with ICP finish for Cu, Ag, As, Mo, Fe, Pb, S, Te, W and Zn.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling was completed by an RC rig from Raglan Drilling (Kalgoorlie). Low air face sampling hammer drilling proved satisfactory to penetrate the regolith and reduce contamination risk.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample recovery visually inspected and recorded by the rig geologist and sampler. Some poor sample return in the overlying transported material. Good recoveries and sample quality within bedrock
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed logging of, regolith, lithology, structure, veining, alteration, mineralisation and recoveries recorded in each hole by qualified geologist. Logging carried out by sieving individual 1m sample cuttings, washing in water and the entire hole collected in plastic chip trays for future reference for RC drilling. Every hole was logged for the entire length. Analysis of rock type, colour, structure, alteration, veining and geotechnical data were all routinely collected. All drill holes were logged in their entirety (100%).
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sampling of 1m intervals directly off a rig-mounted cone splitter into separate calico bags. Sample weight 2 - 3 kg. A 4m composite sample was collected, from 0m to EOH for each hole. The composite samples were collected by using a scoop to collect a representative "split" from each bulk sample that made up a 4m composite interval, this was placed into a pre-numbered calico bag. Pre-numbered calico bags containing the samples were despatched to the laboratory for assay. Upon receipt of results for 4m

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>representivity of samples.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<p>composite samples, selected 1m resplit samples (collected at cyclone) were collected in the field for submission by the same fire assay and mixed acid technique.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sample preparation of the RC samples follows industry best practice, involving oven drying, pulverising, to produce a homogenous sub sample for analysis. Along with submitted samples, standards and blanks were inserted on a regular basis where the pre-numbered calico bag ended with the numbers 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100. Standards were certified reference material prepared by Geostats Pty Ltd.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RC Samples routinely analysed for gold using the 40gram Fire Assay digest method with an AAS finish at Bureau Veritas's Kalgoorlie Laboratory. Additional elements, will derived using a mixed acid digest with ICP finish for Cu, Ag, As, Mo, Fe, Pb, S, Te, W and Zn. Quality control process and internal laboratory checks demonstrate acceptable levels of accuracy. At the laboratory regular assay repeats, lab standards, checks and blanks were analysed. This release is purely a progress update and no results have been received, the techniques described are for samples currently at the laboratory or yet to be collected.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No results have been received yet No holes were planned to twin prior drill holes, however new holes are proximal (40m) to historic drill holes which contained high grade Cu-Au to confirm and further validate previous drilling results. Capture of field logging is electronic using Toughbook hardware and Logchief software. Logged data is then exported as an excel spreadsheet to the Company's external database managers which is then loaded to the Company's DATASHED database and validation checks completed to ensure data accuracy. Assay files are received electronically from the laboratory and filed to the Company's server, and provided to the external database manager.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill hole positions were surveyed using a GPS operated by the rig geologist/field assistant. The drill hole collars will be surveyed using a DGPS by a third-party contractor in late March 2021. Down holes surveys were completed by Raglan drill crew using a multi-shot gyro which records a survey every <5m down the hole. Grid System – MGA94 Zone 51. Topographic elevation captured by using the differential GPS.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hole spacing at approximately 40m spaced intervals Mineralisation at the Burns prospect is primarily hosted by a magnetite-biotite altered High Mg basalt which has been intruded by a later felsic to intermediate porphyry intrusion. The contacts of which are not uniform however the intrusion appears to be roughly vertical. Mineralisation is predominantly Cu plus Au. There is an association between Cu and Au mineralisation but they can occur independently of one another. There is a strong upgrade of Cu and Au in the supergene environment approximately 50-100m down-hole and this is typically flat in its orientation. A primary system (hypogene) occurs in the fresh rock below 100m depth and at this stage the orientation and main controls on mineralisation is not known. It is thought that the mineralisation may dip toward the west-south-west and plunge toward the south-east, hence the drill orientation toward the east. Initial 4m composite samples will be used as a guide to re-sample parts of the drill hole which are likely to contain the best Cu and Au grades.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The roughly east-west orientated drill traverses considered effective to evaluate the roughly north-south to north-west south-east trending stratigraphy. • The drill orientation is a more effective test of “true” width of the host rock due to the fact the host rock unit is striking roughly North-West/South-East. • At this stage the primary controls on the hypogene copper-gold (Cu-Au) system are not completely understood, however analysis of previous drilling in conjunction with this drilling have determined the drill hole orientation is optimum to determine the true width of mineralisation and improve geological knowledge of the system.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were bagged in labelled and numbered polyweave or plastic bags, collected and personally delivered to the Bureau Veritas Laboratory (Kalgoorlie) by Company field personnel. Samples were then sorted and checked for inconsistencies against lodged Submission sheet by Bureau Veritas staff. • Bureau Veritas checked the samples received against the Lefroy Exploration Limited (LEX) submission sheet to notify of any missing or extra samples. Following analysis, the sample, pulps and residues are retained by the laboratory in a secure storage yard.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sampling and analytical results of the drill program were reviewed by the Senior Exploration Geologist and Managing Director. The Managing Director sampled and assisted with logging of the drill holes

Section 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS – LEFROY PROJECT- Burns Cu-Au Prospect March 2021 RC drilling

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> • <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Lefroy Project is located approximately 50 km in south east from Kalgoorlie, Western Australia and consists of a contiguous package of wholly owned tenements held under title by LEX or its wholly owned subsidiary Monger Exploration Pty Ltd. The work described in this report was completed on a Exploration lease E 15/1715. • E 15/1715 is held 100% by Monger Exploration Pty Ltd a wholly owned subsidiary of Lefroy Exploration Limited • The tenements are current and in good standing with the Department of Mines and Petroleum (DMP) of Western Australia.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1968-1973 BHP: The earliest recognition of the magnetic anomaly was by BHP. The area fell within TR 3697, which had been taken up for nickel. The anomaly stood out on the BMR aeromagnetic contoured plans and BHP was testing aeromagnetic anomalies that could have an ultramafic source. The anomaly was confirmed by ground magnetics but an attempt to drill test with two percussion holes failed to identify any bedrock and no further work was attempted. • 1984 Coopers Resources/Enterprise Gold Mines: The ground encompassing Burns was taken up as three Els, E15/19-21. • 1985 BHP: BHP farmed into E15/21 having re-interpreted the magnetic feature as a potential carbonatite. BHP's E15/57 covered the western one third of the anomaly. Following ground magnetic traverses, BHP drilled two diamond core holes, LR 1 and 2. LR 1 falls within Goldfields E15/1638 and LR 2 falls within P15/6397. The results, which are covered in the next section, did not indicate a carbonatite and so BHP withdrew their interest in the area. • 1985-1989 CRAE: Meanwhile CRAE was conducting exploration for gold on adjacent tenements and had engaged Jack Hallberg to carry out geological mapping. He mapped suites of intermediate dykes (plagioclase-quartz-hornblende porphyry) intruding basalt in outcrops to the north west of Burns. • 1992: M. Della Costa took up E15/304 over aeromagnetic anomalies including Burns. The EL was vended into Kanowna Consolidated Gold Mines as part of the St Alvano project. • 1996-2001 WMC: WMC joint-ventured into the St Alvano project, which comprised a total of 12 ELs. They flew 50m line-spaced aeromagnetics and engaged EHW to interpret. Burns was not highlighted as such but the magnetic anomalies forming portions of the annular ring were tested with air core, leading to the discovery of the Neon prospect. Subsequent to the EHW study a gravity survey was conducted which did identify the Burns intrusive as a gravity low. • 2001-2003 Goldfields: Goldfields took over exploration and conducted further air core drilling at Neon. They identified S11 as a target to the south of Burns. The target was secondary gold dispersion in weathered bedrock associated with magnetite enrichment. A series of north-south air core traverses were drilled on 640 X 160m. Results were regarded as disappointing and the project was dropped. • 2005-2008 Gladiator Resources: The area was taken up by Sovereign following their assessment of previous work. They identified Homer's Inlet and the S11 area as priority targets. In 2007 a JV was established with Newmont/Sipa covering the gold rights. In 2008 the

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		<p>southern and eastern sectors of W15/774 was surrendered and taken up as E15/1030. The northern sector including Burns was surrendered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2008 Gold Attire: The ground surrendered by Sovereign over Burns was taken up as E15/1097. • 2008-2010 Newmont: Newmont joint ventured into the Sovereign and Gold Attire ELs. It conducted an 800 X 400m gravity survey to trace a north-south "Salt Creek-Lucky Bay" corridor through the tenements. This was tested by four lines of aircore on 640 X 160m spacing. Two aircore traverses on a 1200 X 320m spacing were also and conducted across the interpreted intrusion and the surrounding magnetic halo. Infill drilling was conducted following up on the 2.0m @ 5.0 g/t Au intercept in a Goldfields hole, SAL 1089. The hole was re-entered and a diamond core tail drilled. This hole falls just inside E15/1638 close to the boundary with P15/6397. • 2010-2019 Octagonal Resources: Three phases of AC to define a gold in regolith anomaly east of the main intrusive body. Two phases of RC identified Ag-Cu-Au mineralisation on four sections spaced approx. 40m apart. The drilling recognised Cu mineralisation which due to the host rock association, Octagonal believed there was potential for a much larger intrusion related system so the emphasis was switched from orogenic gold style exploration to predominately copper focussed intrusion related hosted mineralisation. In 2013 surface geophysical techniques were applied looking for conductors that might represent massive sulphides. Ground EM failed to identify any bedrock conductors, but the magnetic surveys did identify anomalies. In 2014, a diamond core hole, OBUDD001, was drilled at -60 degrees to 090 east to 401.5m in order to test the source of the magnetic anomalism, which occurred within the area tested by the RC drilling. It intersected a 3.6m wide zone of mafic-dominant breccia including 0.9m of massive magnetite-chalcopyrite which returned 4.5 g/t Au, 2.6% Cu from 256.4m, within a low-grade zone of 55.95m @ 0.5 g/t Au and 0.2% Cu from 229.85m. It was interpreted to be a west-dipping structure and the feeder conduit for the mineralization. A second zone of low-grade mineralization of 38.5m @ 0.5 g/t Au and 0.2% Cu was intersected from 184.5m. An EIS grant in 2015 and a loan from a third-party company allowed for two more DD holes to be completed, however by 2016 the Company was acquired by the third-party loan company and subsequently delisted from the ASX.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Lefroy Project is located in the southern part of the Norseman Wiluna Greenstone Belt and straddles the triple junction of three crustal units, the Parker, Boorara and Bulong Domain. The Lefroy project tenements are mostly covered by alluvial, colluvial and lacustrine material with very little outcrop. Burns is proximal to the Lake margin and is subsequently under >20-25m of lake sediment and surface sand dune cover. A stripped profile below this cover means that there is no significant dispersion or oxide component to the Burns prospect. Mineralisation is hosted with a High Mg Basalt and in a intermediate composition porphyry which intrudes the basalt. Mineralisation is primarily gold associated with magnetite alteration and copper occurring as native copper and chalcopyrite in veins and veinlets throughout the basalt and porphyry.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Information has been excluded. • Table of drill hole collars completed by Lefroy is noted in this announcement.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • easting and northing of the drill hole collar • elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar • dip and azimuth of the hole • down hole length and interception depth • hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nil results to report from the current program
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All historical and previous results are based on down-hole metres.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate summary diagrams (plan) are included in the accompanying announcement.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nil results to report for the current drill program
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All relevant data has been included within this report.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). • Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The appropriate next stage of exploration planning is currently underway and noted in the body of the report.